UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/639,467	08/13/2003	Kaoru Usui	1614.1356 6110		
21171 STAAS & HA	7590 02/t3/2007	EXAMINER			
SUITE 700		HUGHES, DEANDRA M			
1201 NEW YO WASHINGTO	ORK AVENUE, N.W. ON, DC 20005	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		3663		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MC	NTHS	02/13/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/639,46	7	USUI ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Deandra N		3663				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status			·					
1) Responsive	to communication(s) filed or	n 15 December 20	006.	·				
2a)⊠ This action is		This action is n		,				
3) Since this ap	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in acc	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	5							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>1-5</i>	is/are pending in the applic	ation.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers	,							
9) The specifica	tion is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 January 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
<u> </u>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
255 the attached actualed Chief deficit for a not of the continue copies not received.								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)								

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12/15/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the claims are enabled by at least one embodiment of the present invention, "the measuring point is at the rear of the tunable wavelength filter, 30. Thus, the measuring point is at a position that has no filter in front of that position, and an optical signal that has not been filter can be measured at that measuring point. See figure 8" (pg. 4, lines 7-10).

This argument is found not convincing for at least three reasons.

- (1) Applicant has not disclosed a 'tunable wavelength filter'. In fact, these words do not appear anywhere in the specification.
- (2) There is no element 30 in figure 8. Further, there is no element 30 in ANY of the figures and the specification does not reference any element 30. As a result, the Examiner is unable to locate this alleged patentably distinct 'measuring point'.
- (3) If there is not filter in front of the said measuring point, then how does one obtain the "specific wavelength" of the "specific wavelength measuring unit". This has not been enabled?

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/639,467

3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

In particular, measuring the light power of optical signals of a specific wavelength as received at the photo signal *input* terminal and split by an optical splitter *without filtering* has not been enabled. The only *disclosed* measurement of a specific wavelength as received at the photo signal input terminal is done so *with* filtering (e.g., see, #51 of figs. 8 and 15 and #61 of fig. 13). It is unclear how one of ordinary skill in the art would separate a specific wavelength from a wavelength-multiplexed signal without filtering. It would seem that separating a specific wavelength from a multitude of wavelength would, in itself, be the very definition of filtering.

Because of the lack of enablement regarding the splitting without filtering, the Examiner is unable to provide an examination over the art.

4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Please see enablement discussion regarding the filtering above.

## Conclusion

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deandra M. Hughes whose telephone number is 571-272-6982. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Application/Control Number: 10/639,467

Art Unit: 3663

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Deandra M Hughes
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3663

Page 5